INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

Baltimore

200501164

| REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: | | | | Tom Pluto | | | Date: <u>10/7/05</u> | | |
|--|--------|---|--------|-----------|---------------------------------|--|----------------------|----------------|-------------|
| PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office <u>Y</u> (Y/N) Date: <u>10/6/05</u> At the project site <u>Y</u> (Y/N) Date: <u>3/31/05</u> PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION: | | | | | | | | | |
| State: County: Center coordinates of Approximate size of Name of waterway o | | dinal coordinates: 40 dands & in acres): 23 | | | <u>lair</u> 0-31-45/78-20-50 | | | | |
| SITE CONDITIONS: | | | | | | | | | |
| Type of aquatic resource ¹ | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 | ac 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear feet | Unknown |
| Lake | | | | | | | | | |
| River | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry Wash | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | X | | | | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie pothole | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet meadow | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa lake | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal pool | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural pond | | | | | | | | | |
| Other water (identify type) | | | | | | | | | |
| ¹ Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ : | | | | If Known | | If Unknown Use Best Professional Judgme | | | ent |
| | | | | Yes | No | Predicted | Not Expected t | | ble To Make |
| | | | | | | to Occur | Occur | | ermination |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by | | | | | | X | | | |

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that

Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species?

Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce?

non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

Migratory Bird Treaties?

cross state lines?

DISTRICT OFFICE:

FILE NUMBER:

| Preliminary | Or | Annuovad | v | |
|-------------|----|----------|----|--|
| Premimary | Or | Approved | Α. | |

X

X

X ¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated,

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION SUPPORTING NJD (e.g., paragraph 1 – site conditions; paragraphs 2-3 – rationale used to determine NJD, including information reviewed to assess potential navigation or interstate commerce connections; and paragraph 4 – site information on waters of the U.S. occurring onsite):

The site was an approximate 232-acre area consisting of a gently to moderately sloping second growth deciduous forest located along the footslope of a mountain. Besides past logging, other disturbances included the use as borrow and spoil for the construction of I-99.

The isolated wetlands consisted of several small hillside seeps with no evidence of channels or overland flow to any jurisdictional watercourse.

Several jurisdictional intermittent and perennial watercourses were found here. The jurisdictional wetlands were located adjacent to these streams or were seeps that "fed" these streams, i.e., were contiguous.